

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

H. Cristina Chen-Oster, Shanna Orlich, Allison Gamba,
and Mary De Luis,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

Goldman Sachs & Co. LLC and The Goldman Sachs
Group, Inc.,

Defendants.

ANALISA TORRES, District Judge:

On May 8, 2023, Plaintiffs filed an unopposed motion for preliminary approval of a class action settlement in this case. ECF No. 1439. Accordingly, the May 16, 2023 final pretrial conference is ADJOURNED *sine die*, the June 7, 2023 trial date is VACATED, and this matter is STAYED until further order of the Court.

In addition, the Court's decision on the parties' motions *in limine*, Defendants' requests to redact and/or seal certain pretrial materials, Defendants' request to strike two of Plaintiffs' trial witnesses, and Plaintiffs' request to strike eight of Defendants' trial witnesses is HELD IN ABEYANCE. ECF Nos. 1407, 1410, 1427, 1435–37; *see also* ECF Nos. 1408, 1411.

The parties are reminded that they may consent to proceed before the Honorable Robert W. Lehrburger, who would then oversee the approval of the class action settlement. If the parties consent to Judge Lehrburger's jurisdiction, by **May 15, 2023**, they shall file a fully executed Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge form, available at <https://nysd.uscourts.gov/node/754> and attached to this order, on the docket. The parties are free to withhold consent without negative consequences.

If the Court approves that form, all further proceedings will then be conducted before Judge Lehrburger rather than before the undersigned. An information sheet on proceedings before magistrate judges is also attached to this order. Any appeal would be taken directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, as it would be if the consent form were not signed and so ordered.

The Clerk of Court is directed to STAY the case and terminate the motions at ECF Nos. 1407 and 1410.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 9, 2023
New York, New York

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: _____
DATE FILED: 5/9/2023

10 Civ. 6950 (AT)

ORDER


ANALISA TORRES
United States District Judge

AO 85 (Rev. 01/09) Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of _____

<hr/> <i>Plaintiff</i> v. <hr/> <i>Defendant</i>	_____) _____) _____) _____)	_____) _____) _____) _____)
--------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------

Civil Action No. _____

NOTICE, CONSENT, AND REFERENCE OF A CIVIL ACTION TO A MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Notice of a magistrate judge's availability. A United States magistrate judge of this court is available to conduct all proceedings in this civil action (including a jury or nonjury trial) and to order the entry of a final judgment. The judgment may then be appealed directly to the United States court of appeals like any other judgment of this court. A magistrate judge may exercise this authority only if all parties voluntarily consent.

You may consent to have your case referred to a magistrate judge, or you may withhold your consent without adverse substantive consequences. The name of any party withholding consent will not be revealed to any judge who may otherwise be involved with your case.

Consent to a magistrate judge's authority. The following parties consent to have a United States magistrate judge conduct all proceedings in this case including trial, the entry of final judgment, and all post-trial proceedings.

<i>Parties' printed names</i>	<i>Signatures of parties or attorneys</i>	<i>Dates</i>
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Reference Order

IT IS ORDERED: This case is referred to a United States magistrate judge to conduct all proceedings and order the entry of a final judgment in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73.

Date: _____ *District Judge's signature* _____

Printed name and title

Note: Return this form to the clerk of court only if you are consenting to the exercise of jurisdiction by a United States magistrate judge. Do not return this form to a judge.



**United States District Court
Southern District of New York**

**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGES:
REFERRALS AND CONSENTS**

All cases in the Southern District of New York are assigned to two judges: a district judge and a magistrate judge. District judges are appointed for life terms by the President. Magistrate judges are selected by a majority vote of the district judges in the particular district and serve terms of eight years.

Referrals to the Magistrate Judge. The district judge assigned to your case may refer the case to a magistrate judge for specific purposes. Commonly, the referral will be for the magistrate judge to conduct the proceedings that occur before trial, such as resolving discovery disputes or presiding over settlement conferences. A referral may also be made for the magistrate judge to issue to the district judge a report and recommendation on how to resolve a motion, such as a motion to dismiss or a motion for summary judgment. The consent of the parties is not needed for the district judge to refer the case to the magistrate judge for these purposes. If the district judge has made such a referral, you can ask the district judge to review any magistrate judge's decision by filing an objection with the district judge within fourteen days of that decision. The district judge will rule on any timely objections that you file. If you do not file an objection, you will give up your right to challenge the magistrate judge's decision at a later time, including on appeal. *See Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.*

Consent to Proceed Before the Magistrate Judge. If you would like your case to move more quickly, it is helpful to consent to proceed before the magistrate judge for all purposes, including any trial. If you consent, the magistrate judge will perform the identical function that the district judge would have performed. Any trial in your case would be either a jury or a nonjury trial, depending upon whether there is a right to a jury trial and a proper request for such a trial. The only difference is that the magistrate judge - and not the district judge - would preside over that trial. Cases that proceed for all purposes before a magistrate judge generally move more quickly than cases before a district judge. If you consent to proceed before the magistrate judge, the district judge plays no further role in the case. Any appeal is taken directly to the Court of Appeals. It is your choice whether or not to consent to proceed before the magistrate judge, and all parties must consent or the case will not proceed before the magistrate judge.

A copy of the appropriate consent form is attached. Additional forms are also available from the Pro Se Intake Unit and on the Court's website.

500 PEARL STREET | NEW YORK, NY 10007
300 QUARROPAS STREET | WHITE PLAINS, NY 10601

PRO SE INTAKE UNIT: 212-805-0175

Rev. 1/20/15